| IDEN | FIFICATION | OF . | ACTIVITY: | The | Office of Se | ecurity component | responsible |
|------|--------------|-------|---------------|-----|------------------|--|-------------|
| \$ - | Approved For | Relea | se 2000/09/02 | 6A | RDE 83 BOGSZSKOY | documentation of the second of | n for |

25X1A

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc |
|--|---|
| EVALUATION: | DATE: |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for At the direction of the Director of | this activity? Date(s)? |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) | force? |
| Do you feel the activity is still bo (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for how? | t ic ctill man a |
| Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why? | the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X |
| | |
| Can another component do the activi More properly? YesNo_X | ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s | questionable in the light of o, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how? | pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X |
| Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes | pursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what? |
| * | |

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020021-6

IDENT Approved for Release 2000/09/02 CIA RDP83B00823R00079002083 ment that serves as secretary to the Professional Security Career Service Board and is responsible for preparation of agenda and minutes of meetings.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 1 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc |
|--|--|
| EVALUATION: | DATE: |
| | · |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for t | |
| At the direction of the Director of Se | curity. |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of | orce? Yes X No No this activity? |
| Do you feel the activity is still not Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficial If so, how? | ecessary? Yes X No is still necessary? Yes X No ently or less costly? Yes No X |
| Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why? | the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo_X |
| Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No_X | ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If s | questionable in the light of o, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how? | pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X |
| Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes | pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what? |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02 ionAlrops3500828R000700020024Gommended by the Security Career Service Boards.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 2 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch |
|---|
| EVALUATION: DATE: |
| |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? |
| As directed by the Director of Security. |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? |
| Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? |
| |
| Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? |
| |
| Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? |

| IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/02: | The Office of Rock 100070002002000 ponent responsible GARDES Preparation of the Annual Personnel Plan. |
|--|---|
| | |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), | 3 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc |
| EVALUATION: | DATE: |
| Personnel Advisory Study Gro | s) for this activity? Date(s)? oup and CIA Management Committee, 1974 |
| Is (are) the reference(s) sti Who is (are) the beneficiary | ill in force? Yes X No (ies) of this activity? |
| Do you feel the activity is s Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) f Can the activity be done more If so, how? | still necessary? Yes X No feel it is still necessary? Yes X No e efficiently or less costly? Yes No X |
| | |
| Do you feel that responsibili to another OS component or If so, where and why? | ty for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes No_X |
| | * |
| Can another component do the More properly? Yes No | activity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include any Watergate? Yes No X | thing questionable in the light of If so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced is significant effect on overal If so, how? | in scope/size or discontinued without ll Agency security? Yes No_X |
| Is there any activity not now important than this one? Ye | being pursued by OS that you feel is more |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : GIA-RDE83BQ9823BQ907800200216 Personnel Development Program.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 4 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci |
|---|
| <u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> : |
| |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? |
| Personnel Advisory Study Group and CIA Management Committee, 1974. |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? |
| Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? |
| |
| Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? |
| |
| Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? |

| IDENTIFICATION OF | |
|--|--|
| р | The Office Providing Street 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 |
| a a | nd preparation of Fitness Reports, etc. |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 5 | COMPONENT |
| EVALUATION: | COMPONENT: OS/PGM/AGTD/Personnel Branc? |
| | DATE: |
| What is (are) our reference(s) | for this activity? Date(s)? |
| 25X1A | |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still who is (are) the beneficiary(is | l in force? Yes X Noes) of this activity? |
| | |
| Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e If so, how? | ll necessary? Yes X No l it is still necessary? Yes X No fficiently or less costly? Yes No X |
| Π. | |
| Do you feel that responsibility | |
| to another OS component or to If so, where and why? | for the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No_X |
| e. | |
| Can another component do the act Nore properly? Yes No_X | ivity more efficiently? Less costly? _ If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_XI | ng questionable in the light of f so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall A | scope/size or discontinued without agency security? Yes No_X_ |
| Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes | ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what? |
| | |

| IDENTIF | ICATION Approved | I OF A For Rel | CTIVITY ease 2000/ | 09/Ф2^{, Т}С́Ь provi | Office of Se FBPR\$3F00823B de projectio nnel ceiling oom. | ns regard | ing positio | ns, |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| ACTIVIT | Y CODE: | II, | E, (b), | 6 | COMPONENT | : OS/P&M/ | A&TD/Person | nel Bran |
| EVALUAT | ION: | | | | | <u>r</u> | ATE: | |
| What is | (are) | our r | eference | e(s) for | this activ | ity? Dat | e(s)? | 25X1A |
| Is (are Who is |) the r (are) t | eferen he ben | nce(s) s nefician | still in ry(ies) | of this act | Yes X ivity? | No | |
| Do(es) | the ben activi | eficia | ary(ies) | feel i | necessary? t is still a ciently or | necessary | ? \overline{Yes} X | NoX |
| Do you : to and If so | other O | S comp | onent o | lity fo or to an | or the activ | ity shoul e? Yes | d be transl | Eorrod |
| | | | 1 5. | | | V. | | |
| Can anot More p | ther coperl | mponer y? Ye | nt do th | e activ No_X | ity more eff | Ficiently ch compon | ? Less cos ent and why | stly? /? |
| Does the Waterg | e activ gate? | ity ir Yes | nclude a No | nything X If | questionabl | le in the | light of | ÷ |
| Can this signif If so, | Eicant | ity b∈ effect | e reduce on ove | d in sc rall Ag | ope/size or ency securit | disconti :y? Yes_ | nued withou No_X | ıt - |
| Is there | e any actant the | ctivit an thi | y not n s one? | ow bein Yes | g pursued by | OS that so, wha | you feel it? | s more |

grade roster, etc. for Office of Security management use. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 7 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc! DATE: . EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25 April 1965 and at the direction of the Director of Security. 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No___ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/Q20:CIATEDERSBERGER

| IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Off Approved For Release 2000/09/42; CLAST | Fice of Security component responsible PP82890823R00670062602456ing professional attract personnel. |
|--|---|
| | |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 8 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Bran |
| EVALUATION: | DATE: |

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes $\frac{\chi}{Ves} = \frac{\chi}{Ves} = \frac{\chi$

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_X____ If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 The Office of Security 2009 me onent responsible supervisors and employees on personnel matters and employee benefits, such as insurance, Credit Union, Federal Employee Compensation Act, and leave entitlements.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 9 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch |
|---|---|
| EVALUATION: 25X1A | DATE: |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for t | his activity? Date(s)? |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in fe Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of | orce? Yes X No this activity? |
| D. C. T. | |
| Do you feel the activity is still need to be done the beneficiary (ies) feel it is can the activity be done more efficiently so, how? | C C+:11 |
| | |
| Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anoth If so, where and why? | he activity should be transferred er Office? Yes No X |
| | |
| Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X If | more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything qu Watergate? YesNo_X If so, | estionable in the light of what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in scope, significant effect on overall Agency If so, how? | size or discontinued without v security? Yes No_X |
| Is there any activity not now being puimportant than this one? Yes? | ursued by OS that you feel is more to X If so, what? |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that provides Approved For Release 2000/09/02dvClAcRDP83B00823R00070020025apport on disciplinary and placement problems to senior management of the Office of Security. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc: EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

important than this one? Yes ___ No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_X

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

If so, how?

| IDENTIFICATION | OF | ACTIVITY: | The | Office | of | Security. | component | that | provides |
|---|----|-----------|------|--------|------|-----------|-----------|------|----------|
| Approved For Release 2000/09/621:664786P83460082386607066200216mission of | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | Hono | r and | Meri | t awards. | • | | |

| ACTIVITY CODE: 11 | , E, (b), 11 | COMPONENT: 0 | S/P&M/A&TD/Perso | nnel Bran |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| EVALUATION: | A | | DATE: | |
| What is (are) our | reference(s) for | this activity | ?? Date(s)? | 1 |
| Is (are) the refe Who is (are) the l | rence(s) still in peneficiary(ies) o | force? Ye | es <u>X</u> No | |
| Do you feel the action of the action of the activity land activity land activity land activity land action. | iary(ies) feel it | : is still nec | essary? Yes X | No X |
| Do you feel that a to another OS co If so, where and | mponent or to and | the activity other Office? | should be trans | forred |
| Can another compor More properly? | ent do the activi YesNo_X | ty more effic If so, which | iently? Less cocomponent and wh | ostly? ny? |
| Does the activity Watergate? Yes_ | include anything No_X If s | questionable o, what? | in the light of | |
| Can this activity significant effe | be reduced in sco ct on overall Age | pe/size or di ncy security? | scontinued witho | out |
| Is there any activ important than t | ity not now being his one? Yes | pursued by O | S that you feel o, what? | is more |

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| • | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II E (b) 12 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Pers | onnel Bran |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 12 | | |
| EVALUATION: | <u>DATE</u> : | |
| | | |
| What is (are) our reference(s) | for this activity? Date(s)? | |
| At the direction of the Director | of Security. | |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie | in force? Yes X No s) of this activity? | |
| | | |
| Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e If so, how? | 1 if is still necessary: 165 A | No_X |
| Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why? | for the activity should be tra another Office? Yes No_X | nsferred |
| Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No_X | tivity more efficiently? Less If so, which component and | costly? why? |
| Does the activity include anyth Watergate? YesNo_X | ning questionable in the light of If so, what? | of . |
| Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how? | n scope/size or discontinued wit L Agency security? Yes No_ | thout X |
| Is there any activity not now limportant than this one? Yes | peing pursued by OS that you fee No X If so, what? | el is more |

| IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09 | The Office of Security 0/02fcA-RDP8980823R9097409 | general with retire- |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | ment projections by sys statistics of Office of and arrange appropriate appo retiring employees. | Security retirees. |
| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), | 13 COMPONENT: OS/P | &M/A&TD/Personnel Bran |
| EVALUATION: | | DATE: |
| | 25X1A | |
| What is (are) our reference(| (s) for this activity? | Date(s)? |
| | | |
| Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary | ill in force? Yes (ies) of this activity? | X No |
| | | |
| Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how? | feel it is still necess | ary? Yes X No |
| Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why? | ity for the activity sh to another Office? Ye | culd be transferred s |
| | | |
| Can another component do the More properly? Yes N | activity more efficien o X If so, which com | tly? Less costly? ponent and why? |
| Does the activity include any Watergate? YesNo_X | ything questionable in If so, what? | the light of |
| Can this activity be reduced significant effect on overall so, how? | in scope/size or disco all Agency security? Y | ntinued without esNo_X |
| Is there any activity not now important than this one? | w being pursued by OS t Yes No_X If so, | hat you feel is more what? |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: ICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/020rClARPRESEQUESTRY0007000920024-6relocation travel orders on Office of Security employees. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 14 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes_X___No___ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

| IDENTIFICATION | OF | ACTIVITY: | The | Office | οf | Security | componen | t responsibl | е |
|----------------|------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---|
| Approved F | or F | Release 2000/09 | for PAY | mainta CIA-ROPS | B Q Q | ng the Uti 828R900700 | 11ce of 3 020021-6 | ecurity | |

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 15 | COMPONENT: | OS/P&M/A&TD/Pers | onnel Branc |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|
| EVALUATION: | | DATE: | |
| | | | |
| What is (are) our reference(s) | for this activi | ty? Date(s)? | • |
| At the direction of the Director | | | |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie | in force? s) of this acti | Yes X No vity? | |
| | | • | |
| Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e If so, how? | 1 77 76 61111 11 | PLESSALY: IUS A | No_X |
| 11 30, 11011. | • | | |
| Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why? | for the activi another Office | ty should be tra ? Yes No | nsforred X |
| Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No_X | tivity more eff If so, whic | Ficiently? Less th component and | costly? why? |
| Does the activity include anyth Watergate? YesNo_X | ing questionabl | le in the light o | of |
| · · | | | |
| Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how? | scope/size or Agency securit | discontinued wit ty? Yes No_ | thout X |
| Is there any activity not now to important than this one? Yes | peing pursued by No X I | y OS that you fee f so, what? | el is more |
| T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T | | | |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/62rC/A-RDR83E00823RD007D90200216611 personnel transactions.

| ACTIVITY CODE | : II, E, (b), 16; | COMPONENT: | OS/P&M/A&TD/Personi | nel Bran |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|----------|
| EVALUATION: | 25X1A | | DATE: | |
| What is (are) | our reference(s) for | this activit | y? Date(s)? | |
| Is (are) the : Who is (are) | reference(s) still in the beneficiary(ies) o | force? Y f this activ | es X No | |
| Do (es) the ber | he activity is still n neficiary(ies) feel it ity be done more effic | is still ne | cessary? Yes X | No_X |
| | | • | | |
| Do you feel the to another of If so, where | hat responsibility for OS component or to ano e and why? | the activity ther Office? | y should be transf YesNo_X | erred |
| Can another co More proper | omponent do the activi Ly? Yes No_X_ | ty more efficients of the so, which | ciently? Less cos component and why | tly? |
| Does the activ Watergate? | vity include anything YesNo_XIf s | questionable o, what? | in the light of |) · |
| Can this actives significant If so, how? | vity be reduced in sco effect on overall Age | pe/size or d ncy security | iscontinued withou? YesNo_X_ | t - |
| | activity not now being nan this one? Yes | | | s more |

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 17 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch |
|--|---|
| EVALUATION: | DATE: |
| 25X1A | |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for | this activity? Date(s)? |
| | |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) | force? Yes X No of this activity? |
| Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how? | is still necessary? Yes X No |
| Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why? | the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X |
| | |
| Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes NoX | ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If s | questionable in the light of so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how? | ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X |
| Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes | pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what? |

IDENTIF Approved For Release 2000/09/62 Charpes 3800823800077009202056 Reports and Periodic Step-Increases on Office of Security personnel are submitted when due. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc! ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 18 DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X___ If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

Preference Book and recording employee preferences. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branci DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CHARDR81B00825R000700020021ing and updating

the Office of Security Assignment

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02 r Character Barros Release 2000/09/00 r Character Barros Release 2000/00 r Character Barros Release 2000 notices, and records responses to these notices. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 20 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Career Board and Director of Security. Minutes of Career Board meeting of 10 April 1974. Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ... No X-If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/@2:rClArBDP8@BQ0823R09Q7000220024f6fing requirements to Office of Personnel.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Bran |
|---|
| <u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> : |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? |
| DD/M&S Memorandum 74-0525, 20 February 1974 |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? |
| |
| Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? |
| |
| Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No_X If so, what? |

annually, staffing requirements for Summer Only employees. ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____No_X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No_X If so, what?

ICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/@2rClArBmP83B00828B00070002002066 of Personnel,

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/42 CLARDPR380082380907091200216 anging the EOD processing of Office of Security Summer Only employees. COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branc! ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 23 DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No . Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XCan the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes___ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 intains 81860823R000/00020021-6 and administrative files on Office of Security personnel, in addition insures that copies of LOIs and Fair Labor Standards Act information are placed in the admin file. 24 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ... No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No__X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ____ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component that

| IDENTIFICATION OF A Approved For Re | lease 2000/09/02 CIA RD Affirmat | ive Action Plan and ity management of o | d advises Office . |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| ACTIVITY CODE: 11, | E. (b). 25 | COMPONENT: OS/P&M// | A&TD/Personnel Brar |
| EVALUATION: | 25X1A | | ATE: |
| What is (are) our r | reference(s) for the | is activity? Date | e(s)? |
| Is (are) the refere Who is (are) the be | nce(s) still in fo neficiary(ies) of | orce? Yes X this activity? | No |
| Do you feel the act Do(es) the benefici Can the activity be If so, how? | ary(ies) feel it i | s still necessary? | No ? Yes X No ly? Yes No X |
| Do you feel that re to another OS com If so, where and | ponent or to anoth | he activity should er Office? Yes | l be transferredNoX |
| · | re. | 4 | |
| Can another compone More properly? Y | nt do the activity esNoX If | more efficiently? so, which compone | Less costly? |
| Does the activity in Watergate? Yes | nclude anything qu No_X If so, | estionable in the what? | light of |
| Can this activity be significant effection. If so, how? | e reduced in scope t on overall Agenc | /size or discontin y security? Yes | ued withoutNo_X |
| Is there any activition important than the | ty not now being points one? Yesl | ursued by OS that | you feel is more ? |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03; CLA FDP 83 FQ 0823 FQ 0219 PV and mobility Programs.

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (| (b), 26 COMP | CONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Person | nnel Branci |
|--|--|---|-------------|
| EVALUATION: | 25X1A | DATE: | |
| What is (are) our refer the direction of the D | | activity? Date(s)? and at | |
| Is (are) the reference (are) the benefic | s) still in force | ? Yes X No sactivity? | |
| Do you feel the activity Do(es) the beneficiary(see Can the activity be done If so, how? | ies) feel it is st | till necessary? Vos v | NoNo_X |
| Do you feel that respons to another OS componer If so, where and why? | sibility for the cast or to another (| nctivity should be transformed No X | forred |
| Can another component do | the activity mor | ce efficiently? Less cos | stly? |
| More property: res | No_X it so, | which component and why | 7? |
| Does the activity includ Watergate? Yes N | e anything questi lo_X If so, wha | onable in the light of t? | |
| Can this activity be red significant effect on If so, how? | uced in scope/siz overall Agency se | e or discontinued withouccurity? Yes No X | ıt - |
| Is there any activity no important than this on | t now being pursu e? Yes No | ed by OS that you feel i | .s more |

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/02016 The Office of Security Component in the Office of Security Component in the Office of Security Component The Office of

| ACTIVITY CODE: II, E, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/P&M/A&TD/Personnel Branch |
|---|
| <u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> : |
| What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? |
| Career Board and Director of Security direction. |
| Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? |
| |
| Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Do you fool that magnangibility for the activity should be to a fine |
| Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? |
| |
| Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why? |
| Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_X If so, what? |
| Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? |
| Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? |

| TRANSMIT | TAL SLIP DATE | | |
|---------------|--|-----------|------|
| TO: | | | 1 |
| | | | _ |
| ROOM NO. | BUILDING | | |
| REMARKS: | • | | |
| OS/EAB han | dles this activity. | | |
| FROM: | | | |
| ROOM NO. | BUILDING | EXTENSION | |
| FORM NO . 241 | REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED. | | (47) |